## THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

AUTOSCRIBE CORPORATION	§	
	§	
Plaintiff	§	Case No. 1:24-cv-04282-SCJ
1 familii	§	
<b>V</b> 7	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
V.	§	
Me A VENTUDES II C	§	
M&A VENTURES, LLC	\$	
D.C. 1.4	8	
Defendant.	S	

## **REVISED JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION CHART**

Plaintiff Autoscribe Corporation ("Autoscribe") and Defendant M&A Ventures, LLC ("M&A") hereby submit their Revised Joint Claim Construction Chart, attached as Exhibit A, reflecting the disputed claim terms and supporting evidence.

The claim terms proposed for construction below are found within U.S. Patent No. 11,620,621 (the "'621 Patent").

M&A objects to Autoscribe's reliance on extrinsic evidence, in particular Exhibits O and R to its Responsive Claim Construction Brief, which was not previously disclosed through Autoscribe's disclosure of extrinsic evidence or the original Joint Claim Construction Statement as required by the local patent rules.

As reflected in Exhibit A, M&A no longer seeks construction of the following claim terms:

- "providing a non-sensitive data token to the merchant... without providing the non-sensitive data token to the payer" (Claims 1, 8, and 25)
- "receiving the non-sensitive electronic data token from the secure server, wherein...the secure server does not provide the non-sensitive electronic data token to the payer" (Claims 15 and 23)
- "generating a uniform resource locator (url),... the url comprising either: a dynamic url generated by the secure server...; or a static url and a hypertext transport protocol (http) parameter..." (Claims 1, 8, and 25).

M&A agrees that it will not raise arguments inconsistent with or attempt to deviate from the plain and ordinary meaning as described by the Patent Trial and Appeals Board.

Dated: February 7, 2025

/s/ Jason McManis

Jason McManis

State Bar No.: 24088032

Colin Phillips

State Bar No.: 24105937

Chun Deng

State Bar No.: 24133178 Michael Killingsworth State Bar No.: 24110089

Angela Peterson

State Bar No.: 24137111

Sean Healey

State Bar No.: 24142997

Ahmad, Zavitsanos & Mensing, PLLC 1221 McKinney Street, Suite 2500

Houston, Texas 77010

(713) 655-1101

jmcmanis@azalaw.com

## /s/ Marron Frith

Richard Miller

Georgia Bar No. 065257

Alan White

Georgia Bar No. 410546

Ballard Spahr LLP

999 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 1600

Atlanta, Georgia 30062 Telephone: 678-420-9340

Email: millerrw@ballardspahr.com whiteda@ballardspahr.com

David H. Harper (pro hac vice)

Texas Bar No. 09025540

david.harper@haynesboone.com Stephanie N. Sivinski (pro hac vice)

Texas Bar No. 24075080

stephanie.sivinski@haynesboone.com

cphillips@azalaw.com cdeng@azalaw.com mkillingsworth@azalaw.com apeterson@azalaw.com shealey@azalaw.com

David S. Moreland State Bar No.: 521998 Meunier Carlin & Curfman LLC 999 Peachtree St. NE, Ste. 1300 Atlanta, GA 30309 (404) 645-7700 (404) 645-7707 (fax) dmoreland@mcciplaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR AUTOSCRIBE CORPORATION

Marron E. Frith (pro hac vice)
TX Bar No. 24137884
marron.frith@haynesboone.com
Jamie Raju (pro hac vice)
TX Bar No. 24095717
jamie.raju@haynesboone.com
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
2801 N. Harwood Street
Suite 2300
Dallas, Texas 75201
(214) 651-5000 (telephone)
(214) 200-0615 (fax)

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT M&A VENTURES, LLC

## **Exhibit A: Disputed Claim Terms and Evidence**

Claim term	Autoscribe's proposed construction and supporting evidence	M&A's proposed construction and supporting evidence
"sensitive	Plain and ordinary meaning	Indefinite; alternatively,
financial account		
information"	Intrinsic evidence:	"financial account information whose storage requires
	• '621 Patent, including at: 1:24–29, 1:59–62,	compliance with one or more information security standards"
(Claims 1, 8, 15,	8:2–14, Claim 1	
23, 25, and other		Intrinsic Evidence
dependent claims)	Extrinsic evidence:	'621 Patent, including at 1:45-48, 1:63-2:3, 2:35-39, 8:8-14
	<ul> <li>Ex. A (www.merriam-webster.com) (including at "sensitive")</li> <li>Ex. H (www.britannica.com/dictionary) (including at "sensitive")</li> </ul>	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 11,620,621, including at ASC000207
	(	Extrinsic Evidence
		Exhibit B, Expert Testimony of Dr. Michael Shamos

Claim term	Autoscribe's proposed construction and supporting evidence	M&A's proposed construction and supporting evidence
"obtain[ing] the [second] payment amount	"obtaining the payment amount and causing or requesting at least a portion of the payment amount to be sent, directed, or credited"	"obtain[ing] the money required for the [second] payment"  ///
///	Intrinsic evidence:  • '621 Patent, including at:  • 10:58–60  • Claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 15, 23, 25  • Prosecution history, including at ASC000033, ASC000108, ASC000502, ASC000527	"forward[ing] at least a portion of the money required for the [second] payment"  Intrinsic Evidence '621 Patent, including at 9:16-19, 10:54
forward[ing] at least a portion of the [second] payment amount"  (Claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 15, 23, and 25)	Extrinsic evidence:  • Ex. O (www.plaid.com/resources/ach/how-does-an-ach-transfer-work/)	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 11,620,621, including at ASC000033, ASC000527, ASC000664  M&A objects to Autoscribe's reliance on extrinsic evidence, in particular Exhibit O to its Responsive Claim Construction Brief, which was not previously disclosed through Autoscribe's disclosure of extrinsic evidence or the original Joint Claim Construction Statement as required by the local patent rules.

Claim term	Autoscribe's proposed construction and supporting evidence	M&A's proposed construction and supporting evidence
"authenticate[s] a payee"/	Plain and ordinary meaning	"verify[ies] the identity of the payee/verifying the identity of the payee"
"authenticating the payee" (Claims 1, 8, 15, 23, and 25)	• '621 Patent, including at:  • 10:46–50, 13:55–14:12  Extrinsic evidence:	Intrinsic Evidence '621 Patent, including at 2:19-22, 2:25, 2:64-3:3, 3:9-15, 10:15-19, 10:46-50, 12:54-58, 13:7, 13:62-14:15
	• Ex. H to Dkt. 139 PTAB Docket:	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 11,620,621, including at ASC000279-ASC000290
	• <i>M&amp;A Ventures v. Autoscribe</i> , IPR2024-01159, Papers 4, 12	U.S. Patent Application No. 16/535,424 ('621 Patent Application), including at [0072], Fig. 4f
		Extrinsic Evidence  "Authentication. In computers and communications, the process of verifying the legitimacy of a transmission, user, or system. Measures such as passwords and digital signatures are employed." Wiley Electrical and Electronics Engineering Dictionary (2004) at 298.
		"Authentication. A process by which subjects, normally users, establish their identity to a system. This may be effected by the use of a password or possession of a physical device, e.g. a coded token." Dictionary of Computer Science (2016) at 32.

Claim term	Autoscribe's proposed construction and supporting evidence	M&A's proposed construction and supporting evidence
"function"	Plain and ordinary meaning	"block of code that operates as a single logical unit"
(Claims 1, 8, 15,	Intrinsic evidence:	Intrinsic Evidence
23, and 25)	• '621 Patent, including at:  o 5:57–61	'621 Patent, including at 5:57-61, 13:55-57, 14:60-62
	• Prosecution History, including at ASC000304—	Extrinsic Evidence
	10, ASC000313–88, ASC000338, ASC000371, ASC000458, ASC000492, ASC000500	"3. Within a computer program, a small group of instructions which perform a given task. Also called subroutine (2), routine (1), or procedure (2)." Wiley Electrical and
	• Ex. B (including at p.18)	Electronics Engineering Dictionary (2004) at 306.
	Extrinsic evidence: • Ex. H to Dkt. 139	"A piece of code that operates as a single logical unit. A function is called by name, accepts optional input parameters,
	PTAB Docket  • <i>M&amp;A Ventures v. Autoscribe</i> , IPR2024-01159, Papers 4, 12	and returns a status and optional output parameters. Many programming languages support functions." Dictionary of Computer and Internet Terms Vol 1 (2016) at 217.

Claim term	Autoscribe's proposed construction and supporting evidence	M&A's proposed construction and supporting evidence
"perform[ing]	Plain and ordinary meaning	Indefinite
each software		
process required to	Intrinsic evidence:	Intrinsic Evidence
maintain	• '621 Patent at:	'621 Patent, including at 1:30-33, 1:63-2:3; 5:62-6:4; 7:8-12;
compliance with	0 1:24–2:3, 5:62–6:4, 7:8–12, 8:8–14,	10:51-57
one or more	10:51–60	
information	• Prosecution history, including at ASC000304—	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 11,620,621, including
security	10, ASC000313–88	at ASC000194, ASC000314
standards"		
		Extrinsic Evidence
(Claims 1, 8, and	Extrinsic evidence:	Exhibit B, Expert Testimony of Dr. Michael Shamos
25)	• Ex. A (including at "perform," "software,"	
	"required," "maintain," and "compliance")	

Claim term	Autoscribe's proposed construction and supporting evidence	M&A's proposed construction and supporting evidence
"a representation of the non-	Plain and ordinary meaning	Indefinite
sensitive	Intrinsic evidence:	Intrinsic Evidence
electronic data token"	• '621 Patent, including at:  o 1:52-56; 2:64-3:3; 3:6-15; 7:1-8;  7:31-34; 7:44-49; 8:40-43, 8:52-59;	'621 Patent, including at 1:52-59, 3:9-15, 8:40-43, 8:52-9:11, 10:46-48
(Claims 2, 9, 15, and 23)	9:12-19; 10:46-48; 13:39-54  • Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 23	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 11,620,621, including at ASC000120
		Extrinsic Evidence
	Extrinsic evidence:  • Ex. A (including at "representation" and	"representation. 2. Something that represents, as: a. An image or likeness of something."
	<ul><li>synonyms of "representation")</li><li>Ex. I (including at "representation")</li><li>Ex. R</li></ul>	American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language (2018) at 1490.
		"representation. 3 [c] something, for example a painting or sign, that shows or describes something else"
		Longman Dictionary of American English (2014) at 947.
		Exhibit B, Expert Testimony of Dr. Michael Shamos
		M&A objects to Autoscribe's reliance on extrinsic evidence, in particular Exhibit R to its Responsive Claim Construction Brief, which was not previously disclosed through Autoscribe's disclosure of extrinsic evidence or the original Joint Claim Construction Statement as required by the local patent rules.

Claim term	Autoscribe's proposed construction and supporting evidence	M&A's proposed construction and supporting evidence
"wherein the representation of	Plain and ordinary meaning	Indefinite
the non-sensitive	Intrinsic evidence:	Intrinsic Evidence
electronic data token is the non-	• '621 Patent, including at:  o 1:52-56; 2:64-3:3; 3:6-15; 7:1-8;	'621 Patent, including at 1:52-59, 3:9-15, 8:40-43, 8:52-9:11, 10:46-48,
sensitive electronic data token"	7:31-34; 7:44-49; 8:40-43, 8:52-59; 9:12-19; 10:46-48; 13:39-54  • Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 23	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 11,620,621, including at ASC000120
(Claims 3, 10, and		Extrinsic Evidence
16)	Extrinsic evidence:	Exhibit B, Expert Testimony of Dr. Michael Shamos
	<ul> <li>Ex. A (including at "representation" and synonyms of "representation")</li> <li>Ex. I (including at "representation")</li> <li>Ex. R</li> </ul>	M&A objects to Autoscribe's reliance on extrinsic evidence, in particular Exhibit R to its Responsive Claim Construction Brief, which was not previously disclosed through Autoscribe's disclosure of extrinsic evidence or the original Joint Claim Construction Statement as required by the local patent rules.